Interpupillary distance measurements for the African population of Polokwane in Limpopo province, South Africa

**Background:** Interpupillary distance (IPD) measurements are crucial as IPD needs to be considered before frame selection. The correct positioning of lenses before the eyes is very important to eliminate potentially undesirable prismatic effects from the lenses.

**Aim:** To investigate and report baseline or preliminary values and variations of IPD measurements amongst black South Africans.

**Setting:** The study was conducted in a privately owned optometric practice in Polokwane, Limpopo Province of South Africa.

**Methods:** This was a retrospective study conducted from 386 randomly selected record cards of patients seen in a private optometric practice. The record cards were selected randomly from patients who were examined by a single optometrist from 2017 to 2019. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) was used for analysis.

**Results:** The study sample comprised of 386 participants, of which 214 and 172 were females and males, respectively. The mean IPD measurements were 67.2 millimetres (mm) ± 3 mm and 64.2 mm ± 3 mm for distance and near measurements, respectively.

**Conclusion:** The study provides preliminary or baseline IPD for black South Africans that can be used by the optical industry. The vast majority of adult IPD lie within the range 63 mm to 76 mm. This study also established that there is a significant difference between distance and near IPD. On average, the near IPD is approximately 3 mm less than distant IPD. Knowledge of mean IPD is important in the design of optical devices and for the production of spectacle frames and lenses.
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**Introduction**

Interpupillary distance (IPD) or simply, pupillary distance (PD) is defined as the distance between the centres of the pupils of the two eyes of an individual, and is commonly expressed or specified in millimetres (mm) but can also be stated in centimetres (cm). Interpupillary distance is also associated with stereoscopic function and is one of the important orbital parameters for measuring the distance between the eyeballs. The mean IPD and/or its variation is needed in the design of most optical devices and the production of optical instruments that use binocular or stereoscopic input, such as slit lamps, binocular indirect ophthalmoscopes and spectacle frames and lenses. Both distance and near IPD measurements are necessary for proper design and placement of ophthalmic lenses, particularly multifocal lenses. There are two types of IPD, namely, the anatomical and physiologic IPD. Anatomical IPD refers to the distance between the two centres of the pupils, whilst the distance between the visual axes is called physiologic IPD. The anatomic and physiologic measurements may differ slightly in some cases because of factors such as measurement error.

There are two commonly used methods for measuring IPD in individuals. The most common method is a manual measurement with a simple millimetre rule, but a lesser used method involves the use of instruments called pupillometers that are sometimes digital. The pupillometer has an advantage over the simple rule because it can measure monocular IPD more accurately. The pupillometer is also necessary when spectacles of high powers or progressive addition lenses (PALS) are required because very precise centration of each lens along the individual’s visual axes becomes essential.
To eliminate unwanted strain on the eyes because of induced prismatic effects from the lenses, the correct positioning of ophthalmic lenses before the eyes is very crucial because the specific points on the lenses have to coincide with the correct location for the visual axes of both eyes and pupils. To the best of our knowledge, there is limited literature related to IPD measurements amongst the black South African population and Africans in general. The purpose of this study was to provide preliminary or baseline values and investigate variations of IPD measurements for Africans in general that can be used by eye care personnel in the ophthalmic industry, medicine and ocular anthropometry (the science and study of measurements and proportions of the human body).

**Methods**

This was a retrospective study carried out in a private optometric practice in Polokwane. The practice sees on average eight patients per day. Measurements for IPD were obtained from the clinical record cards of 386 randomly selected patients. The concerned optometrist has been conducting eye and vision examinations in this practice since 2012 and has been using a millimetre rule to measure the IPD for both distant and near vision.

Data collection occurred over one week in February 2020 and involved reviewing patient record cards of patients aged 20–80 years. Data related to gender, age and IPD were reviewed and extracted from randomly selected patient record cards. Only record cards of healthy individuals who presented for refraction without any pathology were included. Record cards of individuals with facial abnormality, heterotropia, corneal and/or pupil disorders, orbital trauma or ocular surgery were excluded from the study. Only record cards for black South Africans of both sexes were eligible for inclusion in the study.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24 (IBM Corp., USA). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K–S) test was used to check for the normality of the measurements in addition to measures of skewness and kurtosis. The Shapiro–Wilk test is more appropriate method for sample sizes less than 50. Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviations) and mean differences were used to describe the results for this study. A *p*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical considerations**

As this was a retrospective study of the identified data, informed consent was not required but the study was nonetheless approved by the Turfloop Research Ethics Research Committee (TREC) of the University of Limpopo (Ethical clearance number: TREC/196/2019:IR) and permission to collect measurements was granted by the manager (EJ) of the practice concerned.

**Results**

The K-S tests indicated that the IPD measurements were essentially normally distributed. The sample included 386 participants aged 20–80 years and there were 214 females and 172 males. The mean age and standard deviation (s.d.) for the whole sample were 48.86 ± 15.2 years (49.0 ± 15.9 years for females and 48.69 ± 14.3 years for male participants). Table 1 shows the descriptive statistics for the distance and near IPD measurements for the various variables of interest. The mean distance IPD was 67.2 mm ± 3 mm whilst the mean near IPD was 64.2 mm ± 3 mm. The mean difference was 3.00 ± 0.6 (confidence interval [CI]: 2.9–3.1) mm, *r* = 0.98 with *p* = 0.00.

The boxplot (box and whiskers plot) is a graphical method for presenting group(s) of measurements with the use of quartiles and either means or medians. Figure 1 shows the distribution of IPD measurements for distance and near vision. The boxplot is also very useful in visualising skewness in measurements. A normal or symmetric distribution has equal proportions of measurements around the mean or median (the bold horizontal line in the middle of the box). Positive skewness is seen when the median (and/or mean) is closer to the lower or bottom quartile. A distribution that is negatively skewed is shown by the box with a median (and/or mean) closer to the upper or top quartile. The skewness (and kurtosis) of any normal univariate distribution is zero. Thus, Table 1 indicates mild positive skewness and leptokurtosis for IPD despite the results for the K–S tests that suggested data normality. Samples with low kurtosis tend to have fewer outliers whilst with higher kurtosis there are usually more outliers (or extrema).

The histogram is an effective graphical technique for showing both the skewness and kurtosis of measurements. The distribution of the distance IPD measurements for all participants is shown in Figures 1 and 2. Most distance IPD measurements (70%) were between 64 mm and 68 mm. Most near IPD measurements were approximately 63 mm (see Figures 1 and 3). Figures 4 and 5 show the distribution of distance and near IPD measurements by gender. A statistically significant difference was observed amongst female and male participants. As anticipated, male participants, on average, had wider or larger IPD measurements than females.

**TABLE 1:** Descriptive statistics of interpupillary distance measurements for 386 African participants from Polokwane, Limpopo, South Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean ± s.d.</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age in years</td>
<td>48.86 ± 15.2</td>
<td>20–80</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance IPD</td>
<td>67.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>58–78</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>66.5 ± 2.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>68.0 ± 3.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near IPD</td>
<td>64.2 ± 3.0</td>
<td>51–74</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>63.5 ± 2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>66.0 ± 3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPD, interpupillary distance measurements; s.d., standard deviation.

Note: Units are in millimetres (mm) except for skewness and kurtosis that are unitless. Normally distributed data would have skewness and kurtosis of zero or near zero. Bold indicates that there was a significant difference between distance and near interpupillary measurements, and between females and males, *p* < 0.05.
The periocular measurements of the human body can be affected by many factors, including geographical region, race or ethnicity, gender and age. Knowledge of baseline and normative IPD is important in determining the degree of deviation from normal. The entrance pupil determines the amount of light that enters the eye and stimulates the retina. Interpupillary distance is important, when placing spectacle lenses in front of eyes, to prevent undesirable or unwanted prismatic effects. It has been reported that measurements of IPD is one of the craniofacial features that alters in certain craniofacial syndromes and IPD is useful in the management of post-traumatic orbito-facial deformities. The normal values of IPD and craniofacial features are also used in the evaluation of ocular hypotelorism and hypertelorism. Interpupillary distance could also be used reliably in selecting maxillary anterior teeth for prosthodontics.

The distance IPD is measured when the eyes are focused at optical infinity. The average international standard for distance IPD is 63.5 mm and can vary from 58 mm to 70 mm. The results of this study showed that the mean distance IPD for black South African sample under investigation was 67.2 mm ± 3.0 mm with a range of 58 mm – 78 mm (see Table 1 and Figure 2). Kumah et al. found a mean distance IPD of 65.5 mm ± 3.5 mm amongst university students in Ghana. Interpupillary distance has been reported to differ amongst races and a study conducted amongst Caucasians, Asians, African-Americans and Mexican-Americans by Pivnick et al. found that the mean distance IPD was significantly greater for blacks rather than whites of similar age. Alkhairy et al. reported a mean distance IPD of 61.4 mm ± 4.3 mm amongst the Pakistani population. Rasengane and Carlson in a conference abstract reported a distance mean IPD of 67 mm ± 0.5 mm (range: 58–74) amongst South African rural Africans. The distance IPD is useful for the horizontal placement of optical centres of spectacle lenses before the entrance pupils of the eyes in primary gaze. This is important to position the eyelids.
The mean near IPD was 64.2 mm ± 3 mm with a range of 51 mm to 74 mm (see Table 1 and Figure 3). The mean difference between the distance and near IPD was approximately 3 mm. It is particularly important for clinicians to accurately measure the near working distance IPDs for individuals with distance IPD greater than 70 mm. This is because such an individual has to converge more for, say, a 40-cm working distance than individuals with smaller distance IPD measurements. This is vital for prescribing PALs. Conventional PAL work on an average for distance IPD of about 64 mm, which means that the near IPDs corridor inset is about 2 mm in each eye given a 60 mm near IPD. People with larger distance IPD measurements have difficulties adjusting to these lenses if they want to work at 40 cm. Understanding IPD more fully in different populations will assist towards better designs for PAL and greater satisfaction and comfort for patients that require such lenses for their visual needs.

In this study, the mean IPD measurements for males was larger than for females, $p < 0.05$ (see Figures 4 and 5). Several studies have reported that mean IPD of the male individuals are greater than those of females\(^9,10,11,12,13\). The results of this study are in agreement with other studies that male IPD is wider than those of females\(^9,10,11,12,13,14\).

The reason is unknown but could be attributed to the fact that adult males may have larger craniofacial skeletons than females. Studies by Quant and Woo\(^14\) also found that distance IPD amongst males ranged from 55 mm to 70 mm and 56 mm to 66 mm for females. In South Africa amongst whites, Butler et al.\(^22\) found mean near IPD to be 59.04 mm ± 2.9 mm for females and 61.59 mm ± 3.1 mm for males from a private optometric practice. A study in Nigeria by Oladipo et al.\(^23\) amongst adult Ijaws revealed a mean IPD of 69.8 mm and 66.4 mm for males and females, respectively.

Possible limitations of this study in Limpopo (SA) included the relatively small sample size, which is not necessarily a true representation of black South Africans. The study was conducted in a single optometric practice and thus the sample is clinically based. So, further studies are recommended that will encompass larger sample sizes and perhaps many optometric practices and hospitals, and/or randomisation from the general African population across a wider geographic region of South Africa or perhaps of Africa itself.

### Conclusion

The study provided preliminary or baseline (reference) IPD measurements for an African sample (from the black South African population) that can be used by the optical and ophthalmic industries and others. It is important for clinicians to accurately measure the IPD before assessing the visual system and prescribing suitable optical devices without undesirable effects.
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