Distribution of corneal thickness measured using optical coherence tomography in South African young adults

Background: Corneal thickness measurements have various diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Studies have reported on the distribution of corneal thickness measurements in Caucasian and Asian subpopulations with limited focus on African subpopulations.

Aim: The goal of this study was to examine the distribution of corneal thickness measured using optical coherence tomography in a South African young adult population.

Setting: The study was conducted at the eye clinic at the University of KwaZulu-Natal.

Methods: The study used a quantitative cross-sectional research design and participants were recruited using two-stage random sampling. Seven hundred participants consisting of 50% South African blacks and 50% South African Indians aged between 17 and 30 years were included. The sample included an equal distribution of male (n = 350) and female (n = 350) participants. Corneal thickness was measured using the Fourier-domain Optovue iVue100 optical coherence tomographer. As the data from the right and left eyes showed high levels of interocular symmetry, data from only the right eyes were analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

Results: The mean age of the sample was 20.42 ± 1.80 years. Corneal thickness measurements resembled Gaussian curves (p ≥ 0.095) and the mean central corneal thickness (CCT) was 501.91 μm. Corneal thickness at the thinnest point was 495.73 μm and 1.23% thinner than the mean CCT measurement (p < 0.001). Males had slightly higher corneal thickness measurements than females but these differences (0.35 μm – 3.93 μm) were not significant (p ≥ 0.137). Corneal thickness varied significantly with refractive error and was lowest in emmetropes followed by myopes and then hyperopes.

Conclusion: Corneal thickness measurements are normally distributed in South African young adults. The mean CCT is different from that reported in other populations and lower than the calibrated CCT measurement for Goldmann applanation tonometry. Eye care personnel should consider the characteristics of corneal thickness measurements and its implications on intraocular pressure measurements when examining South African individuals.

Introduction

Corneal thickness measurements have clinical importance in refractive surgery,1 contact lens wear,2 corneal diseases3 and interpretation of intraocular pressure (IOP) measurements.4 Moreover, corneal thickness provides an indirect assessment of corneal physiology and hydration.5 Several contact and non-contact methods may be used to measure corneal thickness.6,7 Ultrasound pachymetry is a widely used method because of its low cost, portability and ease of use.8 Even though ultrasound pachymetry has demonstrated good repeatability,9,10 placement of the ultrasound probe is examiner dependent and contact with the corneal surface may result in superficial lesions, transmission of infections and inaccurate measurements.11

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) allows for non-contact scanning and imaging of biological structures.12,13 This method, first described in 1991,12 uses low-coherence interferometry and reflected near-infrared light to create high resolution cross-sectional images (tomograms).14 Since the first reported use of OCT to measure corneal thickness in 1994,15 this method has undergone several improvements such as faster scanning speeds together with improved resolution16 and is being increasingly used to measure corneal thickness.9,17 Additionally, OCT devices are capable of pachymetry mapping that involves simultaneously measuring thickness across a wide area of the
cornea. As a result, studies have reported on central\cite{19,20,21} and peripheral\cite{17,22} corneal thickness measurements using OCT devices. Moreover, it has been reported that corneal thickness measurements with OCT devices are accurate,\cite{16} comparable with ultrasound pachymetry\cite{9} as well as reliable and reproducible.\cite{23,24,25}

Previous studies that investigated the distribution of corneal thickness measurements in adult populations have had certain limitations. Firstly, most of these studies have involved predominantly Caucasian or Asian subpopulations\cite{26,27,28,29,30} with limited attention to African subpopulations. Racial variations in corneal thickness are well documented wherein higher measurements have been noted in Caucasian, Hispanic and Chinese populations compared with African-American and Japanese populations.\cite{31,32} Secondly, the majority of studies\cite{26,27,30} have focused exclusively on central corneal thickness (CCT) measurements with limited attention to peripheral corneal thickness measurements that are important for surgeries and diseases that extend beyond the central cornea.\cite{33,34} In addition, corneal thickness measurements are known to decrease with increasing age.\cite{20,25}

In some studies, the influence of age on corneal thickness was not considered and it is likely that the results were biased in samples consisting of participants with wide age ranges.\cite{19,27,28} Finally, some studies have used ultrasound pachymetry devices,\cite{26,27,30} which have different operating principles and poorer repeatability when compared with OCT devices.\cite{25,36}

As corneal thickness is influenced by demographic and/or environmental factors,\cite{37} it is necessary to understand the distribution of corneal thickness measurements in different populations.\cite{35} Little information is available on the distribution of corneal thickness measurements in a South African population as only one study has reported on the distribution of CCT measurements.\cite{38} Therefore, the purpose of this study was to examine the distribution of central and peripheral corneal thickness measured using OCT in a South African young adult population.

**Methodology**

The study employed a quantitative cross-sectional research design. Two-stage random sampling was used to recruit 700 participants (50% blacks and 50% Indians), aged between 17 years and 30 years, from the university student population.

All participants underwent a complete eye examination that included case history (ocular and medical), logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution (LogMAR) distance visual acuity, ophthalmoscopy, slit lamp biomicroscopy and non-contact tonometry using the Nidek NT 530P Tonopachy (Nidek Co LTD, United States). Autorefractometry, using the Nidek AR-310A (Nidek Co LTD, United States), was performed on all participants and subsequently refined with subjective refraction to determine the refractive error. The subjective refraction was converted to a spherical equivalent (SE), which was calculated as the sphere power added to half the negative cylinder power.\cite{39} Based on the resulting SE, participants were classified as myopes (SE < –0.50 D), hyperopes (SE > + 0.50 D) or emmetropes (–0.50 D ≤ SE ≤ + 0.50 D). Participants with unaided or best corrected distance visual acuity worse than 0 LogMAR, IOP greater than 21 mmHg, previous history of ocular trauma and/or surgery, associated ocular and/or systemic conditions and currently on medication were excluded. Soft contact lens wear was discontinued for at least 3 weeks preceding data collection and none of the participants were rigid gas-permeable contact lens wearers.

The Fourier-domain Optovue iVue100 (Optovue, United States) optical coherence tomographer was used to measure corneal thickness. This OCT device has a scan rate of 25 000 A-scans per second and uses light of wavelength 830 nm – 850 nm with axial and transverse resolutions of 5 µm and 15 µm respectively.\cite{40} The iVue100 OCT device has a preprogrammed algorithm that defines the corneal epithelium as the anterior boundary and the corneal endothelium as the posterior boundary.\cite{41} Consequently, corneal thickness is automatically determined as the distance between the anterior and posterior boundaries. When capturing the corneal scans, the inbuilt internal fixation target was used while the real-time image of the participant’s eye and corresponding corneal tomogram were monitored on a laptop screen. Corneal scans that were labelled as poor on the laptop screen or had scan quality indices of lower than 27 were repeated in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.\cite{42} All corneal scanning was performed after participants had been awake for at least 2 hours to minimise the influence of closed-eye corneal swelling on the corneal thickness measurements.\cite{43}

The cornea pachymetry scan protocol in the iVue100 OCT device was used to determine the corneal thickness. This scan protocol, which comprises eight radial line scans of 6 mm length that consist of 1024 A-scans each,\cite{44} produces a 6 mm × 6 mm pachymetry map (Figure 1). The pachymetry map, which displays the average corneal thickness, is divided by rings into three corneal sections (central, paracentral and peripheral). The CCT is displayed as the average thickness in the central 2-mm ring. The paracentral and peripheral cornea, of 5-mm and 6-mm diameter, are denoted by the middle and outermost rings respectively. Moreover, the paracentral and peripheral cornea are further divided into eight zones (superior, superior–temporal, temporal, inferior–temporal, inferior, inferior–nasal, nasal and superior–nasal) by octants. The average thickness in the different corneal sections comprising 17 zones are displayed accordingly in the corneal pachymetry map using a false-colour display (Figure 1). The iVue100 OCT device also determines the corneal thickness at the thinnest point (minimum) and displays its mean value in the pachymetry assessment box and location with a blue asterisk on the corneal pachymetry map\cite{48} (Figure 1). In this study, the average paracentral corneal thickness (ParaCT) and average peripheral corneal thickness (PeriCT) were computed as the average of the four cardinal quadrants (superior, inferior, nasal and temporal) therein.
Studies have shown that time-domain\textsuperscript{23,24} and Fourier-domain\textsuperscript{24,25} OCT devices are reliable for repeated measurements of corneal thickness. The non-contact Nidek NT 530P Tonopachy is a reliable tonometer when compared with the clinical gold standard Goldmann applanation tonometer.\textsuperscript{42} The method used to determine and classify the SE in this study has been used previously.\textsuperscript{19,43} All data collection procedures were performed by one researcher to ensure standardisation of testing procedures and recording of results. Three consecutive measurements for corneal thickness were taken and averages computed. The clinical equipment and environment were kept constant throughout the data collection period.

Data were captured and analysed with the Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 25. Interocular symmetry was assessed using the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC).\textsuperscript{44} The Shapiro–Wilk’s test, graphical inspection of histograms and measures of skewness as well as kurtosis were used to assess the distribution of corneal thickness measurements. Corneal thickness measurements in the different zones are summarised as mean ± standard deviation (SD), median and 95\% confidence intervals in microns. Gender differences in corneal thickness and differences in the three corneal sections were assessed with the independent and dependent sample $t$-tests respectively.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to assess differences in corneal thickness among myopes, hyperopes and emmetropes. A probability ($p$) value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical considerations**

The study (reference number BE 289/12) was approved by the Biomedical Research and Ethics Committee of the University of KwaZulu-Natal. All ethical guidelines, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, were adhered to during the study and all participants provided written informed consent after a discussion of the study nature and procedures therein.

**Results**

The study sample ($N = 700$) consisted of an equal distribution of male ($n = 350$) and female ($n = 350$) participants. The mean age of participants was 20.42 ± 1.80 years and ranged from 17 to 29 years. There was no significant difference in mean age between the male (20.57 ± 1.93) and female (20.28 ± 1.65) participants ($p = 0.093$). The preliminary statistical analysis showed high levels of interocular symmetry for corneal thickness measurements at the centre (ICC of 0.993), thinnest point (ICC of 0.994), paracentral (ICCs ≥ 0.983) and peripheral (ICCs ≥ 0.975) quadrants. Data from only the right eyes of the
700 participants were analysed because of the high levels of interocular symmetry.

Table 1 summarises the distribution of corneal thickness measurements for the centre and thinnest point as well as the four paracentral and peripheral quadrants. According to the Shapiro-Wilk test, the corneal thickness measurements were normally distributed in all zones (all \( p \)-values \( \geq 0.095 \)). Moreover, histograms for the corneal thickness measurements resembled Gaussian curves in all zones (Figures 2, 3 and 4) with skewness and kurtosis ranges of 0.07 to 0.15 and –0.01 to –0.12 respectively (Table 1). The mean CCT measurement was 501.91 ± 33.74 \( \mu m \) and ranged from 413 \( \mu m \) to 618 \( \mu m \). Only two participants presented with mean CCT measurements that were greater than 600 \( \mu m \) whereas 47% of participants (\( n = 326 \)) had mean CCT measurements that were less than 500 \( \mu m \). The mean corneal thickness at the thinnest point was 495.73 ± 33.89 \( \mu m \), which is equivalent to 1.23% thinner than the mean CCT (\( p < 0.001 \)). The difference between the CCT and minimum corneal thickness measurements ranged from 2 \( \mu m \) to 31 \( \mu m \) with a mean difference of 6.18 \( \mu m \). In 95% of participants (\( n = 668 \)), the thickness difference between these two points was 9 \( \mu m \) or lower. In the majority of participants (\( n = 659 \)), the thinnest corneal point was located in the central zone and then in the inferior temporal (\( n = 29 \)), temporal (\( n = 6 \)) or inferior (\( n = 6 \)) zones.

The CCT measurement was significantly thinner than the mean corneal thickness measurement for each quadrant in the paracentral and peripheral cornea (\( p < 0.001 \)). Moreover, the lowest SD was noted for the CCT measurement and increased as the distance away from the corneal centre increased (Table 1). The average ParaCT and PeriCT were 521.06 ± 34.56 \( \mu m \) and 546.82 ± 35.71 \( \mu m \) respectively. Not surprisingly, the mean CCT measurement was significantly thinner than the average ParaCT (mean difference of 19.15 \( \mu m \), \( p < 0.001 \)) and PeriCT (mean difference of 44.91 \( \mu m \), \( p < 0.001 \)) measurements. For both the paracentral and peripheral cornea, the superior quadrant was the thickest while the inferior and temporal quadrants were thinnest in the paracentral and peripheral cornea respectively. Similar corneal thickness measurements,
which were less than 3 µm, were found for the inferior and temporal quadrants of the paracentral and peripheral cornea (Table 1). Overall, corneal thickness measurements were asymmetrical in the paracentral and peripheral cornea wherein higher corneal thickness measurements were noted in the superior and nasal quadrants compared with the inferior and temporal quadrants (Table 1).

Table 2 presents the corneal thickness measurements stratified for gender and refractive error. Even though males had slightly higher mean corneal thickness measurements than females for all zones (range, 0.35 µm in the peripheral nasal zone to 3.93 µm in the paracentral temporal zone), these gender differences were not statistically significant ($p \geq 0.137$). The mean CCT in males and females were 503.67 ± 34.58 µm and 500.14 ± 32.83 µm respectively ($p = 0.166$). For both males and females, corneal thickness was highest in the superior quadrant of the paracentral and peripheral cornea. For both male and female participants, the paracentral inferior and peripheral temporal quadrants showed the lowest corneal thickness measurements (Table 2). The corneal thickness measurements were significantly different in all zones for the three refractive error groups ($p \leq 0.001$), wherein lowest measurements were noted for emmetropes followed by myopes and then hyperopes (Table 2). The mean CCT was 498.89 µm, 508.44 µm and 535.25 µm in emmetropes, myopes and hyperopes respectively ($p < 0.001$). A post-hoc analysis (Gabriel) showed that emmetropes had significantly thinner corneal thickness measurements than myopes in all zones.

**FIGURE 3:** Distribution of paracentral superior (a), inferior (b), nasal (c) and temporal (d) corneal thickness (µm) in the right eyes of young adult participants (N = 700), aged 17–30 years.
(p ≤ 0.002). Even though myopes had thinner corneal thickness measurements than hyperopes for all zones, these thickness differences failed to reach statistical significance (p ≥ 0.115). It is important to note that as the sample included very few hyperopes (n = 4), one should be cautious with interpretation of any results relating to the sample of hyperopes in this study.

Discussion

In this study, the histograms and normality indices suggested that corneal thickness measurements via OCT in a South African young adult population were normally distributed. This finding is in agreement with studies involving Chinese, Iranian, Puerto Rican, New Zealand and Korean populations that have also reported normal distributions for central and peripheral corneal thickness measurements. Even the corneal thickness measurements at the thinnest point were normally distributed, as has been reported previously. It has been theorised that most biological variables, in a general population, are normally distributed. Consequently, the finding of corneal thickness measurements resembling Gaussian curves is not unexpected as they are similar to other biological characteristics. It is also possible that the inclusion of only healthy participants without any ocular diseases and/or anomalies in this and other such studies may further account for the observation of normally distributed corneal thickness measurements.

![Figure 4](http://www.avehjournal.org)
The corneal thickness measurements for the right and left eyes, obtained using the iVue100 OCT device, showed high levels of interocular symmetry with ICCs greater than 0.974. These results are not surprising because ocular variable measurements in the two eyes of the same individual are related in the absence of any anomalies.41 This may be owing to the inherent structural similarities between the right and left eyes.42,43 Moreover, the trend of high interocular symmetry for corneal thickness measurements is consistent with the findings of other studies that used ultrasound pachymetry,26 Scheimpflug photography,29 Orbscan40 and OCT48 devices. In contrast, an early study by Foster et al.28 reported a significant CCT interocular difference of ~20 μm. In their study, Foster et al.28 used an outmoded older-type optical pachymeter to measure corneal thickness, and perhaps systematic errors because of misalignment of the optical pachymeter with the corneal surface may account for the large interocular difference noted.28,47

The mean CCT found in this study (501.91 μm) is considerably lower compared with previous studies involving young adult samples. Sanchis-Gimeno et al.32 used an Orbscan device and reported a mean CCT of 554 μm in 1000 adults aged between 20 and 30 years. In a study consisting of 1669 Chinese adults with mean age of 23.8 ± 5.9 years, Li et al.33 reported a mean CCT of 548.58 μm using ultrasound pachymetry. An early study34 reported a mean CCT of 575 μm with ultrasound pachymetry in 151 Asian adults with a mean age of 28.6 ± 11.3 years. More recently Mohd-Ali et al.35 noted a mean CCT of 596.03 μm in 84 Asian adults with a mean age of 21.42 ± 1.47 years. A study involving 200 South African young adults, with a mean age of 20.1 ± 1.6 years, reported a mean CCT measurement of 519.5 μm using a Scheimpflug photography device.36 Prakash et al.48 used a Fourier-domain OCT device and reported a mean OCT measurement of 517.3 μm in 100 Indian adults with a mean age of 25.4 ± 1.8 years. The discrepancy in mean CCT measurements obtained in this study compared with other studies involving young adult samples may be attributed to additional factors that affect corneal thickness measurements including ethnicity, differences in sample sizes and gender distributions as well as ocular variables including corneal curvature, IOP and refractive error.7,31,33,34 It is also likely that the different study methodologies, particularly the method used to measure corneal thickness, may also account for the variation in mean CCT measurements, as different pachymeters use varying operating principles to measure corneal thickness.7,22

Knowledge of the mean CCT measurement is important for various clinical and surgical applications.2 It is well recognised that CCT measurements influence IOP measurements, wherein the latter is underestimated in thinner central corneas and overestimated in thicker central corneas.19 Goldmann applanation tonometry, which is the clinical gold standard for measuring IOP, is calibrated using a theoretical assumption of 520 μm for the CCT measurement.56 The mean CCT measurement in this study is lower than this calibrated theoretical assumption, which suggests that IOP measurements using Goldmann applanation tonometry may be underestimated in young South African adults and that eye care personnel should exercise more attention when interpreting IOP measurements in these individuals. The CCT measurement is also an important consideration for laser in situ keratomileusis if a cut-off value of 500 μm is used.

According to the meta-analysis by Doughty and Zaman,33 a mean CCT measurement greater than 600 μm is observed in less than 5% of the general population. In the present study, only a small proportion of participants (less than 1%) had mean CCT measurements greater than 600 μm. This is consistent with the reports of other studies that noted approximately 3% of their healthy non-glaucomatous participants had mean CCT measurements greater than 600 μm.58,59 In contrast, other studies30,32 that included participants with corneal anomalies and ocular hypertension have reported higher percentages of participants with mean CCT measurements greater than 600 μm. For example, Brandt et al.37 reported that approximately one out of every four participants (24%) in the Ocular Hypertension Treatment Study (OHTS) had mean CCT measurements greater than
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**TABLE 2: Corneal thickness (μm) in each zone segmented by gender and refractive error indicated with means and standard deviations.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corneal variable</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Refractive error</th>
<th>Emmetropes (n = 490)</th>
<th>Myopes (n = 206)</th>
<th>Hyperopes† (n = 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male (n = 350)</td>
<td>Female (n = 350)</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
<td>Mean SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCT</td>
<td>503.67 ± 34.58</td>
<td>500.14 ± 32.83</td>
<td>498.89 ± 33.13*</td>
<td>508.44 ± 33.40</td>
<td>535.25 ± 64.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>497.45 ± 34.76</td>
<td>494.01 ± 32.96</td>
<td>492.79 ± 33.15*</td>
<td>502.11 ± 33.96</td>
<td>527.25 ± 64.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral superior</td>
<td>535.55 ± 35.86</td>
<td>532.94 ± 34.43</td>
<td>530.77 ± 34.41*</td>
<td>541.84 ± 34.69</td>
<td>568.75 ± 71.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral inferior</td>
<td>514.75 ± 36.06</td>
<td>511.68 ± 34.21</td>
<td>509.95 ± 34.35*</td>
<td>520.43 ± 35.04</td>
<td>542.00 ± 72.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral nasal</td>
<td>523.77 ± 35.20</td>
<td>522.07 ± 33.49</td>
<td>519.85 ± 33.62*</td>
<td>529.55 ± 34.09</td>
<td>557.75 ± 70.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paracentral temporal</td>
<td>515.82 ± 35.58</td>
<td>511.89 ± 34.22</td>
<td>510.36 ± 34.19*</td>
<td>521.54 ± 34.60</td>
<td>546.00 ± 68.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral superior</td>
<td>567.91 ± 37.75</td>
<td>567.37 ± 36.77</td>
<td>564.13 ± 36.47*</td>
<td>575.32 ± 36.83</td>
<td>601.25 ± 77.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral inferior</td>
<td>537.88 ± 37.50</td>
<td>535.44 ± 36.24</td>
<td>532.91 ± 36.18*</td>
<td>545.10 ± 36.19</td>
<td>561.50 ± 76.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral nasal</td>
<td>549.04 ± 36.28</td>
<td>548.69 ± 35.17</td>
<td>545.49 ± 34.94*</td>
<td>556.22 ± 35.40</td>
<td>583.75 ± 72.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral temporal</td>
<td>535.54 ± 36.23</td>
<td>532.67 ± 35.35</td>
<td>530.21 ± 34.93*</td>
<td>542.72 ± 35.33</td>
<td>567.5 ± 72.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CCT, central corneal thickness; SD, standard deviation.

* p-value ≤ 0.05, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test.
† Owing to the small number of hyperopes (n = 4), one should interpret any comparisons that involve this sample with caution.
600 µm, which may be owing to their sample consisting of only individuals with ocular hypertension. Aghaian et al. included both normal participants and participants with various glaucoma disorders (primary open-angle, chronic angle-closure, normal tension, ocular hypertension and pseudoxefoliation) in their sample and reported that 7.2% of their participants had mean CCT measurements greater than or equal to 600 µm.

The CCT measurement was significantly thinner than the mean corneal thickness for each quadrant in the paracentral and peripheral cornea, which is in agreement with the findings of other studies. In the absence of ocular diseases and/or anomalies, there is a progressive increase in corneal thickness measurements from the centre to the periphery. This increase in corneal thickness measurements towards the periphery has been noted in studies involving paediatric, young adult and middle-aged to elderly adult samples. It is theorised that the increase in the number of collagen fibrils in the peripheral stroma compared with the central stroma accounts for the increasing thickness towards the corneal periphery. It is also speculated that apart from the stroma, the change in thickness of Bowman’s layer towards the corneal periphery also contributes to the normal thickening of the cornea.

Corneal thickness measurements beyond the central cornea (peripheral corneal thickness) are not often measured despite their importance in surgeries and diseases that involve these areas. For example, knowledge of the peripheral cornea may help to ensure a better match between the host and donor corneas in penetrating and/or deep anterior lamellar keratoplasties. In this study, corneal thickness measurements beyond the central cornea were asymmetric, which is consistent with the literature concerning peripheral corneal thickness wherein varying corneal thickness measurements have been noted. Moreover, the superior and nasal quadrants were thicker than the inferior and temporal quadrants for both the paracentral and peripheral cornea, as has been reported previously.

In the present study, the superior quadrant had the largest corneal thickness measurement for both the paracentral and peripheral cornea. Other studies that have used varying non-contact pachymetry devices including Scheimpflug photography, slit-scanning topography and OCT have reported the same trend. The precise reason for the superior quadrant being the thickest is not readily explained. However, it is speculated that the superior corneal thickness is highest owing to chronic hypoxia induced by the upper eyelid that partially covers this corneal area in an open-eye state.

In the present study, corneal thickness was lowest in the inferior and temporal quadrants of the paracentral and peripheral cornea respectively. The thickness difference between the inferior and temporal quadrants of the paracentral and peripheral cornea was less than 1 µm and 3 µm respectively. Early studies, particularly with ultrasound pachymetry, considered the CCT measurement to be the thinnest point on the cornea. However, with technological advancements and pachymetry mapping, it is now being recognised that the thinnest point on the cornea lies most often infero-temporal to the CCT. The position of the thinnest point lying inferior temporal to the CCT may account for the displacement of the corneal apex in keratoconus and position of the development of corneal ectasia post-laser in situ keratomileusis.

In this study, the mean minimum corneal thickness measurement was 495.73 µm. This value is considerably smaller compared with the mean minimum corneal thickness measurements reported in other studies involving Iranian (526 µm – 551 µm), Chinese (528 µm – 548 µm), German (535 µm – 578 µm) and American (542 µm) samples. Although the mean minimum corneal thickness measurement was considerably lower, the SD associated with this measurement of 33.89 µm is similar to that reported in previous studies. Not surprisingly, the minimum corneal thickness measurement was the lowest compared with the CCT and corneal thickness measurements in the different zones of the paracentral and peripheral cornea. The mean thickness difference between the minimum corneal thickness and CCT measurements was 6.18 µm, which is comparable to the values reported by Ashwin et al. (6.0 µm) and Randelman et al. (7.8 µm). In contrast, Hashemi et al. and Zheng et al. reported smaller thickness differences of 3.23 µm and 3.24 µm, respectively, although their ranges of differences, being 0 µm – 105 µm and 0 µm – 66 µm, respectively, were much wider than that found in the present study (2 µm – 31 µm).

The minimum corneal thickness was 1.23% thinner than the CCT measurement, which is comparable to the percentage differences between these two points of 0.78% – 2.80% reported in other studies. For the majority of participants (95%), the thickness differences between the minimum corneal thickness and CCT measurements was 9 µm or lower. The small extent of this difference implies that few participants had unusually low measurements at the thinnest point on the cornea and this may be owing to the inclusion of only healthy participants in the sample. This suggests that even though the CCT and minimum corneal thickness are important points for research and analysis, the difference in their thicknesses and location may not have clinical significance in the planning of refractive surgery for normal healthy individuals.

The relationship between gender and corneal thickness measurements is inconsistent as there are contradictory reports in the literature. Some studies have reported higher corneal thickness measurements in males, whereas others have reported the opposite trend with higher measurements in females. In agreement with the former group of studies, this study noted higher corneal thickness values in males. Despite this observation and an equal distribution of
The influence of refractive error on corneal thickness measurements, particularly the CCT, has been investigated previously but there is no agreement regarding this relationship. In the present study, statistically significant differences for the central and peripheral corneal thickness measurements were found among the three refractive error groups. The mean CCT measurement was thinnest in emmetropes (~499 μm) followed by myopes (~508 μm) and hyperopes (~535 μm), but this comparison should be interpreted with caution as there were very few participants with hyperopia (n = 4). Overall, the majority of studies have reported higher corneal thickness measurements in hyperopes compared with emmetropes and myopes.\(^{40,70,71}\) This suggests that the trend observed in this study is consistent with the pattern in the literature albeit that the sample consisted of only a few hyperopes (n = 4). There is little consensus in the literature related to which refractive error group has the lowest CCT measurements. Some studies have reported thinnest CCT measurements in myopes,\(^{71,72}\) whereas others have reported thinnest measurements in emmetropes.\(^{70,72}\) This lack of agreement and variation may be explained by the use of different methods to determine and subsequently classify refractive error, which compounds the comparison of results across studies.

Strengths of this study include the use of a large sample of young healthy black and Indian adults with an equal gender distribution and narrow age range. Corneal thickness was measured using a Fourier-domain OCT device with a standardised protocol and internal fixation target to minimise the effect of off-centre fixation, which may result in erroneous measurements. Limitations of this study include the narrow age range of the sample, which suggests that the study results must be interpreted with caution when generalised to younger and older South African individuals. Moreover, the relationship between age and corneal thickness could not be assessed owing to the narrow age range of participants. The sample also consisted of a small proportion of participants with hyperopia. Therefore, it is recommended that participants with wider age ranges and hyperopia, possibly determined as SE ≥ + 0.25 D, be included in future studies.

Conclusion

The central and peripheral corneal thickness measurements, obtained using OCT, in a South African young adult population were normally distributed. The mean CCT and minimum corneal thickness measurements in this study were lower than the values reported in other studies involving young adult samples globally. No clinically significant gender

related differences in corneal thickness measurements were observed. The values presented in this study are suitable for clinical use as they are representative of a healthy South African young adult population and may provide a foundation for the interpretation of patients’ clinical data with more confidence. Moreover, knowledge of the distribution, mean values and characteristics of corneal thickness measurements in a specific population of young adults of a similar age range allows for future studies to be conducted without the need for a control group.\(^{30,35}\) It is suggested that optometrists and ophthalmologists utilise the information herein when considering the distribution, means, medians and other characteristics of corneal thickness measurements when examining South African individuals.
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