Refractive error and visual impairment in primary school children in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria

Background: Vision problems have been shown to adversely affect a child’s achievement in school.

Aim: To determine the prevalence of refractive error and visual impairment in primary school children in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Setting: The study was conducted in a primary school in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Methods: A stratified random cluster sampling method was used to select primary school children aged between 5 and 15 years from grades 1 to 6 in primary schools in Onitsha North and South. A total of 1020 children in 102 clusters were enumerated and 998 (97.8%) were examined. The examination included visual acuity measurements, ocular motilities, retinoscopy and auto-refraction under cycloplegia, and examination of the anterior segment, media and fundae.

Results: The prevalence of uncorrected, presenting and best corrected visual acuity of 20/40 or worse in the better eye was 9.7%, 7.7% and 1.3%, respectively. Refractive error accounted for 86.6% of all causes of visual impairment. Myopia was the most prevalent refractive error (46.4%), followed by astigmatism (36.1%) and hyperopia (17.5%). Refractive error and visual impairment were significantly more prevalent in females than in males ($p = 0.04$). Refractive error was highest among children aged between 11 and 13 years, while visual impairment was greatest among children aged between 5 and 7 years.

Conclusion: The prevalence of refractive error and visual impairment among primary school children in Onitsha was relatively high, highlighting the need for services and strategies to address these conditions in that area.

Introduction

Refractive error (RE) is an optical defect of the eye that prevents parallel light rays from being brought to a clear focus on the retina and is a common cause of visual impairment (VI) and blindness worldwide. It is estimated that 2.3 billion people live with RE globally. In 2006, 153 million people had uncorrected refractive error (URE) of whom 13 million were children and 45 million were visually impaired. The resulting VI can lead to health, socio-economic and quality-of-life implications. In addition, 90% of people with URE live in developing countries such as Nigeria. Refractive errors can be easily and effectively corrected with a pair of spectacles, contact lenses or refractive surgery, with spectacles being the most common and cost-effective form of correction.

Studies on the prevalence of RE and VI have been conducted in various provinces of Nigeria, such as Western, Northern, Southeastern and South–South, and have yielded different results. Many of these studies have documented region-specific prevalence and causes of VI, with most being conducted on older children and adults. There is a need for local studies to ascertain the prevalence of RE and VI peculiar to children in each community, as this varies from one locality to another for a variety of reasons. These factors include tribal, ethnic, geographical and socio-economic differences, which may have an impact on RE and VI. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence of RE and VI in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria. Data on RE and VI will be useful for planning, implementing and monitoring refraction and other eye care services in this group. In addition, the information can be used as baseline data for evaluating existing RE and VI programmes in this region.

Methods

Onitsha is an urban area located on the eastern bank of the Niger River in Anambra State, Eastern Nigeria. The study population was primary school children from all the private and
public schools in Onitsha North and South, the estimated pupil school population being 13296 in 2009 which was projected to be 15324 by the year 2017. A multistage sampling method was used to select school children in the study population and the baseline sample size was determined using the equation:

\[ N = \frac{Z^2 (1.0 - P) P}{B^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(Eqn 1)}

where \( P \) is the anticipated prevalence of RE, \( B \) is the desired error bound (10%) and \( Z = 1.96 \) is the 95% confidence interval. The prevalence estimates from previous studies conducted in Nigeria ranged between 7.3% and 22.5%. A 15% prevalence rate, which is the average of the prevalence estimates from previous studies, was used. The minimum sample size required was, therefore, calculated to be 968, which was increased to 1000 to adjust for anticipated absenteeism and non-participation rate, which should not exceed 10%, to ensure minimal bias in the study results.

Children aged between 5 and 15 years whose parents or legal guardians provided informed consent were included in the study. The other inclusion criteria were children who gave verbal assent and/or signed assent, children who were Nigerian citizens, as indicated by the class register and the class teacher. Children younger than 5 years and older than 15 years, those who were not Nigerian citizens and those whose parents or legal guardians could not provide informed consent were excluded from the study. Ethical approval to conduct the study was obtained from the University of KwaZulu-Natal’s Biomedical Research and Ethics Committee (BE620/16) and Onitsha Zone Education boards. The research protocol adhered to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki for research involving human subjects. The heads of the identified schools were contacted to request their permission to engage with the children in their schools. Each school provided a room in which the equipment could be set up for testing, and the teachers were informed that the children would be called out of class to have their eyes tested in the assigned rooms.

**Clinical examination**

Clinical examinations were conducted by five optometrists in the 17 selected primary schools in Onitsha North and South Local Government Area in Anambra State, Nigeria. Examination procedures followed the original Refractive Error Study in Children (RESC) protocol. In brief, distance visual acuity (VA) was measured with a retro-illuminated logMAR chart with five optotypes on each line (Precision Vision, Villa Park, Illinois). Ocular deviations were evaluated with a cover test at both distance and near. Heterotropias were categorised as exotropia, esotropia and vertical and the degree of tropia measured using corneal light reflex and neutralising prisms.

Examination of the anterior segment was performed with a pen torch. In children with unaided VA of 20/40 or worse in either eye, cycloplegic drops were used: two drops of 1% cyclopentolate eye drops administered 15 minutes apart. After 20 min, if a pupillary light reflex was still present, a third drop was administered. The light reflex and pupil dilation were checked after an additional 15 min. Cycloplegia was considered complete if the pupil dilated to 6 mm or greater and a light reflex was absent. Cycloplegic refraction was carried out first using a streak retinoscope (Welch-Allyn, Skaneateles, United States) in a semi-dark room at a distance of 67 cm and a +1.50 D lens in the trial frame and then with an auto-refractor (Retinomax K-Plus; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The auto-refractor was calibrated daily by a technician, and a minimum of five readings with valid confidence rankings were obtained for each eye. Using the objective refraction measurement as the starting point (when available), best corrected VA with subjective refraction was determined using the trial frame. Refractive error was assigned as the cause of VI if acuity improves to 20/32 or better with subjective refractive correction with or without pinhole.

Examination of the crystalline lens, vitreous and fundus was performed with a direct ophthalmoscope (Welch-Allyn, Skaneateles, United States) in children who had an unaided VA of 20/40 or worse in either eye to ascertain the cause of the VI.

**Pilot study**

Prior to the main study, a pilot study was conducted among 50 primary school children outside the study area to check the appropriateness of the study procedures, methods and logistics. All queries that arose from the pilot study were addressed, and the procedures were modified accordingly before the main study was performed.

**Definition of terms**

Uncorrected VA of 20/40 or less was regarded as mainly because of URE, and best corrected VA of 20/40 or worse was regarded as VI. Thresholds of 20/40 or less, less than 20/63 and 20/200 or less were used in defining visual categories. Myopia was defined as RE of at least −0.50 D, hyperopia as 2 D or more and astigmatism as −0.50 D or more using subjective refraction.

**Data management and analysis**

Class enumeration and clinical examination data forms were reviewed for completeness in the field before they were captured into the computer. Assistance of a statistician was sought for the data analysis, which was analysed using descriptive and inferential statistics in the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24. Ranges, means, standard deviations, frequencies and correlations were determined. Chi-square and Pearson’s correlation tests were used to investigate relationships between age and gender with VI and RE.

**Ethical consideration**

The study was approved by the Biomedical Research and Ethics Committee, University of KwaZulu-Natal, the
Department of Education and Training, and the heads of the identified schools, Onitsha, Nigeria, and the study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Results**

Of the 1020 primary school children aged between 5 and 15 years from 17 primary schools in Onitsha North and South who were enumerated, 998 (97.8%) participated in the study. The participants included 443 (44.4%) males and 555 (55.6%) females (Figure 1). Their mean age was 9.01 ± 2.5 years and 389 (39%) were aged between 8 and 10 years.

**Visual acuity**

Of the 998 children examined, uncorrected VA of 20/32 or better in the better eye was found in 901 (90.3%) children, 97 (9.7%) had uncorrected VA of 20/40 or worse in the better eye and 20 (2%) wore spectacles. Eighty-four (86.6%) children improved to ≥ 20/32 in the better eye after refraction and 13 (13.4%) had best corrected VA of 20/40 or worse in the better eye, including one child (1%) with no light perception in one eye. This results in the prevalence of uncorrected VA of 20/40 or worse in the better eye of 9.7%, of presenting VA of 7.7%, and best corrected of 1.3% (Table 1).

**Refractive error**

Ninety-seven (9.7%) children who had VA of 20/40 or worse in either eye underwent cycloplegic refraction. Complete cycloplegia was achieved in 85 (87.6%) right eyes and 79 (81.4%) left eyes. However, pupil dilation with light reflexes present was found in 11 (11.3%) right eyes and 9 (9.3%) left eyes, while the absence of light reflex without full pupil dilation was found in 12 (12.4%) right eyes and 18 (18.6%) left eyes. Therefore, 72 (74.2%) children satisfied one or both criteria for **cycloplegia dilation** in both eyes.

Hyperopia ranged from +1 D to 5 D with retinoscopy and from +1 D to 5 D with auto-refraction. Both retinoscopy and auto-refraction values showed that hyperopia decreased with increasing age and was highest among children 5–7 years old. Myopia ranged from −1 D to −11 D with retinoscopy and −1 D to −11.5 D with auto-refraction. Myopia increased with increasing age and was highest among children aged between 11 and 13 years, and between 14 and 15 years. Astigmatism of −0.50 D to −1.75 D was found in 24 (24.7%) right eyes and 37 (38%) left eyes with retinoscopy and 25 (25.8%) right eyes and 38 (39.2%) left eyes with auto-refraction. Astigmatism of ≥ 2 D was found in 4 (4.1%) right eyes and 5 (5.2%) left eyes with retinoscopy, and 4 (4.1%) right eyes and 6 (6.2%) left eyes with auto-refraction. These results indicate that significant astigmatism in either eye was present in 35 (36.1%) children. The prevalence of hyperopia ranged between 17.5% and 21.6% when measured with retinoscopy and auto-refraction, respectively. With retinoscopy, the prevalence of myopia ranged from 6.2% in 5- to 7-year-olds to 15.5% in 8- to 10-year-olds (Table 2). With auto-refraction, the prevalence of myopia was 49.5%, while auto-refraction and retinoscopy results could not be obtained in 21 (21.6%) eyes because of poor co-operation, fixation and claustrophobia.

Of the 97 children who had RE, 45 (46.4%) had myopia, 35 (36.1%) had astigmatism and 17 (17.5%) had hyperopia (Figure 2). Forty-two (43.3%) children who had RE were males, while RE was highest (45.4%) among the 11- to 13-year-olds and least common (4.1%) among children aged between 14 and 15 years (Figure 3). Refractive error was found to be

---

**TABLE 1:** Distribution of uncorrected, presenting and best corrected visual acuity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VA category</th>
<th>Uncorrected VA</th>
<th>Wearing glasses</th>
<th>Presenting VA</th>
<th>Best corrected VA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20/32 in both eyes</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥20/32 in one eye only</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2/40 to 20/63 or better in the better eye</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2/80 to 20/160 or better in the better eye</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2/200 or worse in the better eye</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VA, visual acuity; N, number.
significantly associated with age (Pearson’s $\chi^2 = 13.13$, $p = 0.00$) and gender (Pearson’s $\chi^2 = 4.17$, $p = 0.04$). Myopia was significantly associated with males ($p = 0.03$) but not with age. Astigmatism and hyperopia were not significantly associated with age and gender (all $p$-values > 0.05).

Out of 70 eyes of 35 children with astigmatism, 41 (58.6%) eyes had with-the-rule (WTR) astigmatism (Table 3).

### Ocular anomalies

Exotropia accounted for the highest proportion of deviations and tropia with near fixation, being present in 19 (1.9%) of the 998 children examined and in 10 (1%) with distant fixation. Twenty-one (72.4%) of the tropias detected were exotropia at near, with one quarter (23%) of the children being exophoric at near. The exterior and anterior segment abnormalities were observed in 61 (6%) of the 998 children examined. Eyelid abnormalities were present in 15 eyes of 10 (1%) children. Conjunctival abnormalities were present in 80 eyes of 42 (4.2%) children. Corneal abnormalities, mainly opacities, were found in two eyes of two (0.2%) children, and an abnormal lens was observed in one (1%) child. Fundus abnormalities, including optic atrophy, macular and retinal degenerations, were present in 8 eyes of 12 (1.2%) children, while 2 (0.2%) had micro-ophthalmos.

### Causes of visual impairment

Of the 97 children with uncorrected VA of 20/40 or worse in the better eye, 84 (86.6%) were because of URE and thus improved to ≥ 20/32 after refraction, while 13 (13.4%) could not be improved to ≥ 20/32 in the better eye. The prevalence of VI (best corrected VA of 20/40 or worse in the better eye) was therefore 13 (1.3%) (Table 4).

Nine (69.2%) children who had VI were females and 4 (30.8%) and 11 (84.6%) children were aged between 5 and 7 years, 2 (15.4%) children were aged between 8 and 10 years and no VI was found in children older than 11 years. Visual impairment was found to be significantly associated with age (Pearson’s $\chi^2 = 19.36$, $p = 0.00$) but not with gender (Pearson’s $\chi^2 = 1.004$, $p = 0.32$).

### Discussion

Except for a relatively large number of 8- to 10-year-olds and a small number of 15-year-olds, the age distribution of the enumerated population was reasonably uniform. The high response rate in this study could be in part, owing to the fact that there are no school eye screening programmes in Onitsha, so the children felt it was an opportunity to get their eyes tested. The endorsements by the education board secretaries, co-operation of the class teachers and Parent-Teacher Association (PTA) also contributed to the high response rate.

The prevalence of RE among primary school children aged between 5 and 15 years tested in Onitsha, Anambra state was 9.7%. This falls within the World Health Organization (WHO) prevalence range of 2% – 10% reported among children in this age group worldwide and warrants regular vision screening. Table 5 provides an overview of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4: Causes of visual impairment.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cause of VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea opacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amblyopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albinism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VI, visual impairment; N, number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 3: Distribution of types of astigmatism.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eye</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left eyes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number.
TABLE 5: Prevalence of refractive error studies in children in Africa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Prevalence of RE (%)</th>
<th>Myopia (%)</th>
<th>Hyperopia (%)</th>
<th>Astigmatism (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohammed et al.</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>6–10</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>66.9</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamal et al.</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6–15</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebyvat et al.</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>6–19</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assefa et al.</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>4–24</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kassa and Dego</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jafer and Abomesh</td>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>7–15</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kumah et al.</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>2435</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovenseri and Omuemhu</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>5–19</td>
<td>1103</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovenseri and Assien</td>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11–18</td>
<td>961</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumu et al.</td>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misika et al.</td>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12–15</td>
<td>1278</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faderin and Ajaiyeoba</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opubiri et al.</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4–15</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opubi and Egbe</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balarabe et al.</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>11–20</td>
<td>614</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meghalayin and Asana</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>9–21</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahumaaand Atowa</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>7–17</td>
<td>2525</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semanyezi et al.</td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>11–37</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naidoo et al.</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>4890</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abif et al.</td>
<td>Sudan</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>5–15</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weden et al.</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>7–19</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawuma and Maveku</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>6–9</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RE, refractive error; NR, not reported.

Recently, increased outdoor activities and exposure to high light intensity, common among urban dwellers, have been suggested to be factors influencing the prevalence of RE in rural areas. On the contrary, lifestyle factors such as increased near work and indoor activities common among urban dwellers have also been known to increase the prevalence of RE in urban areas. However, other studies have shown the prevalence of RE to be high in urban and highly developed areas compared to rural and less developed areas. Although racial and ethnic differences are the most important reasons for differences in the prevalence of RE, studies have also shown that genetically determined factors (such as eye pigmentation) may theoretically interact with environmental influences (such as outdoor light exposure) to impact RE development in black people.

Various studies have shown that gender differences at the age of onset of puberty affect development of RE and with differences between biometric ocular parameters of males and females being reported, which suggests a possible correlation between gender and RE. Refractive error was found to be significantly higher in females (56.7%) than males (43.3%) (p = 0.04) in the present study, a result similar to those observed in Lagos, South-South Nigeria, Kebbi State Nigeria and Ethiopia.

Studies have shown that the human eye grows by 5 mm from birth to 6 years, and by an additional 1 mm after 6 years until the age of 12 years. The prevalence of RE has been reported to increase to 2% by the age of 6 years and to 15% by the age of 15 years in the general population, indicating the possibility of a relationship between increase in age and RE. This study found RE to increase with age and was highest...
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Study could be because of the fact that Onitsha is mainly
an urban area and children in urban environment engage
more in indoor and near work activities, such as higher usage
of computers, smartphones and video games. Studies in
Abia State and Bayelsa State, Nigeria, also found myopia to
be the most prevalent refractive condition among primary
school children aged between 7 and 17 years, and between
5 and 21 years. Although both studies were conducted in
urban environments, variations in the prevalence rates could
be attributed to the differences in age ranges, sample sizes
and methodologies used. For instance, the current study
used a sample size of 998 and an age range of 5–15 years,
while the study by Ahuama and Atowa used a sample size
of 4225 and an age range of 7–17 years. In addition, the
present study was school based while Opuriri et al. was
hospital based.
Studies in Tanzania and South Africa by Wedner et al. and
Naidoo et al., respectively also showed myopia to be the
most prevalent refractive condition among children aged
between 5 and 15 years. Higher prevalence findings were
reported in Singapore, China, Vietnam, Egypt and Sudan. The higher prevalence of myopia recorded in those studies was attributed to the high prevalence of myopia in Asians and Arab children. Myopia is a common visual problem in schoolchildren globally, with early detection and management being highly indicated for educational, behavioural and quality-of-life benefits.
In this study, myopia was found to increase with age, starting
from 8 to 10 years, which could possibly be because this age
group is mostly in grade 5 and 6 which is the grade for
preparing and writing entrance examination to high school
in Nigeria leading to increased academic demand; thus,
increasing the onset of myopia. A possible reason could be
the onset of juvenile myopia, defined as myopia, with an
onset between 7 and 16 years of age, primarily because of
axial elongation that is usually caused by intensive near
work. Ahuama and Atowa also reported myopic progression
starting from 12 to 17 years in Abia State, Nigeria, with
similar findings being recorded in the RESC survey in
China, Chile and South Africa, with the upward trend in
myopia started from 13 to 14 years in the later.
Myopia was found to be significantly associated with males
($p = 0.03$), which could be because of the fact that the male
children engage more in indoor activities, such as computer
video games, chatting on phones, reading and writing,
unlike the females who do more outdoor activities, such as
domestic chores. Msiska et al. also found myopia to be
significantly associated with males, while Wedner et al.
and Kawuma and Mayeku reported contrary results in
Tanzanian and Ugandan children, respectively. Muma et al. and Kumah et al. did not find any significant
association between gender and myopia in Kenya and
Ghanaian children, respectively, which could be because of
the diverse age groups in the study samples. The prevalence
of astigmatism varies in studies across populations, with
racial factors being reported to be among the reasons for the
differences observed in the prevalence of astigmatism
worldwide. Early detection and correction of astigmatism
are important, as most asthenopic symptoms that could
lead to poor school performance are usually because of
astigmatism. The prevalence of astigmatism of $-0.50$ D or
worse found in this study was high. School children in
urban areas engage more in near work and astigmatism is
associated with increased near work. The prevalence is
lower than 38.8% reported in Lagos, Nigeria by Faderin and
Ajayiobea. However, it is more comparable to 6.1% and
7.8% recorded in South-South Nigeria and Abia State, Nigeria, respectively (Table 5). The reasons for the
discrepancies could be the inclusion of diverse age and
ethnic groups in their study samples.
With-the-rule astigmatism was the most common type found
in this study and this could be because it is commonly found
in children. Similar findings were reported by Atif et al. among Sudanese children aged between 5 and 15 years.
Opuriri et al. and Naidoo et al. found astigmatism to
increase with an increase in age in South–South Nigeria and
South Africa, respectively. The present study did not find
astigmatism to increase with age, the variability in findings
possibly being attributed to ethical and racial differences.
There was no gender difference in the prevalence of
astigmatism in this study, this being similar to a report by
Muma et al. in Kenya.
The prevalence of hyperopia was low (Table 5), which could
be because of the study setting. Children in urban areas are
more actively involved in near work and less outdoor
activities, thus reducing their prevalence of hyperopia. The prevalence of hyperopia found in this study is higher than
those reported in other Nigerian studies but lower than and
comparable to those conducted in other African countries
(Table 5). This wide variation could in part be because of the
variations in the definitions of hyperopia, and/or age groups
and ethnicities used in the various studies. For example,
Ahuama and Atowa defined hyperopia as $+0.50$ D and
higher, while the current study defined it as $2$ D and higher
(Table 5). The study by Ahuama and Atowa also involved
rural and older school children (7–17 years), which could
have increased the prevalence of hyperopia, as it is well
reported that hyperopia is more prevalent among children in
the rural areas. Hyperopia was found to decrease with increasing age and was highest among children 5–7 years
old. This is possibly because of the fact that this younger age
group is prone to be more hyperopic, as their crystalline lens
is still growing and they engaged in less reading and near work compared with the older age groups. Similar findings were reported in South-South,\(^7\) and Abia State, Nigeria,\(^8\) as well as in China,\(^9\) Chile\(^10\) and South Africa.\(^11\) Females had more hyperopia than males in the present study, a result similar to that reported by Opibiri et al.\(^12\) in South-South, Nigeria and Msiska et al.\(^13\) in Malawi, but contrary to findings obtained by Kawuma and Mayeku\(^14\) in Kenya, and Maul et al.\(^15\) in Chile. The use of non-cycloplegic refraction in some of these studies could also have influenced the prevalence of hyperopia as this condition tends to produce more myopia and less hyperopia.

The prevalence of VI was 1.3%, indicating that VI is relatively uncommon among primary school children in Onitsha. The prevalence is much lower than that reported in other Nigerian studies by Megbeleyin and Asana\(^16\) and comparable to that reported by Ajigeyoeba et al.\(^17\) (Table 5). It is, however, not possible to make general overall comparisons among these results because of differences in ethnic backgrounds and methodologies used. Most (84%) uncorrected VA of ≤ 20/40 found in the present study was caused by RE, this result is consistent with other studies.\(^18\) Other causes of VI were amblyopia, retinal disorders, corneal opacity and albinism, which are similar to those reported in South Africa by Naidoo et al.\(^19\) and in Ghana by Kumah et al.\(^20\) This study also recorded no significant association between VI and gender, possibly because of the low prevalence of VI.

Several limitations of our study must be acknowledged. First, sometimes measuring VA and performing retinoscopy and auto-refraction on 5-year-olds and 6-year-olds was difficult because of poor attention span, lack of understanding and restlessness. Second, the relatively small sample size compared to other population-based RESC surveys and the fact that the sample for this study was drawn from an urban area limits generalisation of the results to the state or country. Future studies should include all children in Onitsha to completely understand RE and VI in this group.

In conclusion, this is the first RESC study undertaken in Onitsha, Anambra State, Nigeria and the results showed that the prevalence of RE and VI among primary school children were 9.7% and 1.3%, respectively. These findings are comparable with some studies but vary from other reports and highlight the need to conduct local studies to establish regional baseline data to inform policy. The inclusion of training and information programmes on basic vision screening for teachers and school healthcare may ensure early detection of children with RE and VI.
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